

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,309	07/07/2003	Benjamin J. Patella	200208752-1	8246
22879 7	590 04/05/2005		EXAM	INER
	ACKARD COMPA	· -	NGUYEN	, MINH T
P O BOX 2724	100, 3404 E. HARMON	F		
INTELLECTU	JAL PROPERTY ADM	IINISTRATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLLII	NS, CO 80527-2400		2816	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-A/L
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	46
	10/614,309	PATELLA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Minh Nguyen	2816	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory [- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, moon. a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to become	ny a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 June 2004.	,	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐	This action is non-final.		
3)⊠ Since this application is in condition for al	lowance except for formal r	natters, prosecution as to the merits is	;
closed in accordance with the practice un	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 07 July 2003 is/are	e: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ ol	pjected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o	опеction is required if the drav	ring(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	l).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attac	thed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority docu 	ments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ments have been received	n Application No	
Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have be	een received in this National Stage	
application from the International B	, ,,,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies	not received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 	4) ∐¹ Intervi Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/7/03.		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office	o) 🗀 Omer:		
	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 04010)5

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants' response to the restriction/election requirements with traverse filed on 6/11/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground that all the claims are read on the embodiment shown in Fig. 2A. In view of the reconsideration, the restriction/election requirements are withdrawn. The following is a detailed office action of claims 1-23.

Drawings

2. Figures 1A-1C should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,309

Art Unit: 2816

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because:

- (i) the first sentence is a repeated information given in the title.
- (ii) it uses words which can be implied, i.e., "comprises", "In accordance with one embodiment".

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

4. Claims 6, 17 and 23 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 6, lines 2-3, "a reset signal" should be changed to -- a second reset signal --, see line 2 of claim 10.

line 12, "a reset signal" should be changed to -- a first reset signal --.

line 14, "an evaluate signal" should be changed to -- another evaluate signal -- to avoid potential antecedent basis problem, see line 5.

line 17, "said evaluate signal" should be changed to -- said another evaluate signal -- to avoid potential antecedent basis problem, see line 7.

line 19, ""said evaluate signal" should be changed to -- said another evaluate signal --.

In claim 17, line 1, "15" should be changed to -- 16 -- since there is no "evaluate signal" in claim 15.

In claim 23, line 1, "said first and said second input signals" should be changed to -- said first and said second signals -- for consistency, see line 3 of claim 16.

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-23 are allowed.

Claims 1-5 are allowed because the prior art of record fails to disclose or suggest the inclusion of first and second reset terminals in the first and second delay lines, respectively, in addition to a speed comparison logic module for comparing an evaluate signal with one of the signals output from the first and second delay lines as recited in claim 1. The inclusion of these elements define patentability over the prior art of record because they define a distinguished structure of the circuit which allows the option of preventing from propagating the signals in the first or second delay lines which is not taught by the art of record, alone or in combination.

Claims 6-23 are allowed for the same reasons noted in claim 1.

Conclusion

6. This application is in condition for allowance except for the above formal matters

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte*Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,309 Page 5

Art Unit: 2816

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Nguyen whose telephone number is **571-272-1748**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, Friday 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh Nguyen Primary Examiner

4/1/05

Art Unit 2816